Dear Paul,

8/3/94

In the course of getting together some material for a college professor I was not able to find duplicate copies I'd made of the records of Hoover's revebge on the Dallas police for daring to tell the truth, beginning with what Hosty blurted out to Revill and he told Curry, who soon told the world, what they knew/allegedly didn't know about LHO preassassination. After demanding and getting a public apology by Curry on coast-to-coast TV, Hoover broke off all relations with the DPD, even police training. I remember giving copies to Earl Golz and the publication of his article, but I can't even find the story. I do not recall if I sent you any copies but if I did I'd appreciate getting a set for the professor. Also if nothing else a copy of Earl's story, for which thanks in advance.

Nothing new in any of my litigation. I think the DJ/FBI Reply brief in the appeals court in the field offices case is due about now. While the briefing for Jim and me was quite polite, too much so for my taste, it was pretty effective and leaves it beyond doubt that some of the government's allegations are complete fabricatuons. So I'm interested in how they'll reply.

In the course of some searching for Mary Mack I found the Dallas record indicating that the FBI did copy the Moorman pix a d kept it secret. I've also located the record reflecting that it does have the Dallas police tapes. I've sent these to JL for possible use if there is a remand.

Except that I've been waging another battle against borers in the pines it has been rather quiet. We've got lots of pines I'd like to save, after losing five, and the exercise is good for me.

I wish I could find an acceptable explanation for the disappearance of records like those I refer to above when I have a clear recollection of having made copies for subject filing. On occasion I had copier trouble and asked Earl and others to return copies and I have no reason to believe that if I did this he didnnot do as I asked. I guess the only explanation, because I have no reason to suspect theft when I make copies for those who go through the files, is that one or more of the college girls who worked at filing part time messed things up, maybe just stuck anything anywhere. But that, too, is not easy to believe. So I am without any explanation, particularly because if that was a separate file there are places where it belonged and isn't and if not a separate file, filed in which it belonged and isn't.

I read Robinson's decision today and I guess all I can say is "Welcome to the club!" He did the same thing to me and again a ready explanation is not at hand. With me he made promises he didn't keep and thus booby-trapped me. I believe I've updated you with the latest I have and think about with the CIA, I've heard nothing further from Jim or Bud, but I think the Mexico City stuff would not fall within Robinsonism. I think I also asked you if you recall whether electronic surveillance of both Cuba and the USSR was disclosed officially and for citations.

Best,